

**MINUTES  
MOAPA VALLEY WATER DISTRICT  
BOARD OF DIRECTORS MEETING  
HELD  
TUESDAY, JULY 9, 2015**

**PRESENT:** Kenneth Staton  
Jon Blackwell (by phone)  
Randy Tobler

Lindsey Dalley  
Ryan Wheeler

Joe Davis  
Lon Dalley  
Bryan Mortensen

Susan Rose  
Jeannie Poynor

Byron Mills

Vernon Robison

Joe Gillmer, Blaine Equipment

Jeff Lambert, Cashman Equipment

**ORDER OF BUSINESS:** At 4:00 p.m., Chairman Ken Staton called to order the regular meeting of the Moapa Valley Water District Board of Directors. The agenda items were addressed in the following order:

**1. Public Comment (May be limited to five minutes)**

None

**2. Approval of the Minutes of the previous meeting held June 11, 2015 (For Possible Action)**

On motion of Randy Tobler and seconded by Lindsey Dalley, the Board voted 3-0 in favor to approve the minutes of the June 11, 2015 meeting.

**3. Approval to accept the bid from J & J Enterprises Services in the amount of \$10,998 for the asphalt seal coating of Country Lane Estates (For Possible Action)**

Lon Dalley explained that in order to finalize the Vanguard repairs in Country Lane Estates III Subdivision, staff has solicited for bids on a one time asphalt seal coating of the entire subdivision.

The following bids were received:

J & J Enterprises Services, Inc bid for "OverKote Mineral Filled Asphalt Emulsion"	\$10,998.00
Holbrook Asphalt Co. bid for "Premium Mastic Grade Seal-Coat Application"	\$11,931.48
Straight Stripe Painting, Inc. bid for "Seal Coat Surface Treatment - Premium"	\$14,169.75

Staff recommended going with the bid from J & J Enterprises, Inc. in the amount of \$10,998.

On motion of Randy Tobler and seconded Lindsey Dalley, the Board voted 3-0 in favor to accept the bid from J & J Enterprises Services, Inc. for the amount of \$10,998 for the asphalt seal coating of the Country Lane Estates III Subdivision.

**4. Approve J & J Enterprises Inc., to perform the asphalt repaving of Catherine Lane at Moapa Valley Blvd in the amount of \$15,985 (For Possible Action)**

Lon Dalley explained that we've had quite a time getting from NDOT the paving limits that they are going to require of us. We have the area where we removed the asphalt and NDOT requires that we go 18" beyond that on every side. We knew that but since there are saw cuts on the rest of the apron they want us to mill down 2 1/2" and pave it so it's nice and clean. They made us do the same thing on Hwy 168.

Joe Davis said that the new inspectors are doing everything by the book.

Lindsey wanted to make sure that everyone knows that the costs of repairs are going up because of something we can't control.

On motion of Randy Tobler and seconded Lindsey Dalley, the Board voted 3-0 in favor to approve J & J Enterprises, Inc. to perform the asphalt repaving of Catherine Lane at Moapa Valley Blvd in the amount of \$15,985.

**5. Approval to purchase a tractor/backhoe (For Possible Action)**

Ryan Wheeler came into the meeting at 4:10 pm.

Joe called Jon Blackwell on the conference phone so he could be involved in this item since he is over equipment.

Joe explained that we received two bids for the backhoe. One was from Blaine Equipment and the other one was from Cashman Equipment. The bid from Blaine is for a used machine that has less than 400 hrs on it and the one from Catapillar is for a brand new backhoe.

Cashman did offer a guaranteed buy back after 5 years or 5,000 hrs which is not something we requested.

The quotes were as follows:

<u>Vendor</u>	<u>Machine</u>	<u>Purchase</u>	<u>Purchase w/trade</u>
Blaine Equipment, Las Vegas	John Deere 310SK	\$83,611	\$58,881
Cashman Equipment, Las Vegas	Catapillar 420F2	\$107,663	\$82,663

Back in 2011 when we purchased our last backhoe it cost us \$67,000 for a brand new machine. Both bids are comparable amongst themselves. Joe asked Joe Gillmer and Jeff Lambert to attend the meeting in case the Directors had any questions.

The John Deere is used but we are the only ones that have used it. It was brand new when we started leasing it to do flood repairs.

There was some discussion on the guaranteed buy back Cashman was offering and also on how well the John Deere is working for us.

Joe said that they are both great backhoes.

Jon Blackwell felt that if the guys were happy with the John Deere backhoe they've been using in the field, we should go with that backhoe.

On motion of Jon Blackwell and seconded Ryan Wheeler, the Board voted 5-0 in favor to accept the bid from Blaine Equipment in the amount of \$58,881 for the purchase of a John Deere 310sk tractor/backhoe and approved the purchase of the same.

Jon Blackwell disconnected at this time.

**6. Approve the purchase of a truck from Service Trucks International in the amount of \$77,903.60 (For Possible Action)**

Joe explained that we went out for bid on a service truck and only received one bid back and that was from Service Truck International. They met 100% of the requirements. We purchased a service truck from them before and it's a good truck. Their bid came in at \$77,903.60. There are other trucks out there on the market that might meet 90% - 95% of our specs so we solicited bids from them on trucks that are sitting on car lots. The Service International truck is a 2016 & both Birch Ford and Hattick Dodge have 2015 trucks. The Dodge is actually a crew cab and their bid was \$71,000. When we contacted both of them they both offered trade-ins. In the past when we've sold some of our vehicles we usually got between \$4,000 & \$5,000 for them. Hattick will give us a trade-in value of \$4,000 for our old truck so we can take \$4,000 off of the \$71,000. Service Truck International will give us a trade-in value of \$8900. We would take the trade-in value off the actual cost on this truck also. The Dodge meets 95% of our specs.

Randy said that he's going to leave the decision on the truck up to staff. They know what kind of vehicle would work best for them but he's concerned fiscally. We have \$208,000 set aside for equipment. We just purchased a backhoe and now we're going to purchase a new service truck. We have the money there but what happens in the upcoming years? Do we have a fiscal plan to keep those funds going for additional purchases? There's \$185,000 slated for next year.

Joe reminded him that the numbers for the \$185,000 are inherited numbers. This service truck was supposed to have been purchased two years ago but we were able to push it off for two years. The \$185,000 is a projected number for a dump truck. We only replace things when they start costing us a lot of money in maintenance.

Randy wants to see where we sit after we spend the money, what next year's purchases are supposed to be and the year after that. We're spending \$130,000 tonight. A dump truck could be right around the corner. We have the projections but he would really like to nail it down so when the Directors have to make these decisions, they will make the best decision they can.

Ryan asked Joe where this is in the 2016 budget.

Joe explained that when Jeannie had done the spreadsheet, there was \$110,000 mathematical error which was in our favor. When that money was found, the Directors decided to include the backhoe in the budget.

Randy wants to talk about this when we get to "Directors Preference".

On motion of Ryan Wheeler and seconded Lindsey Dalley, the Board voted 4-0 in favor to approve the purchase of the 2015 Dodge crew cab from Hattick Dodge for \$67,034.50 as long as it meets the specs requested by management.

**7. Approve the First Amendment to Cooperative Agreement between SNWA and MVWD (For Possible Action)**

Joe explained that there are three agreements that need to be acted on and he asked the Directors if they wanted to talk about all three of them at the same time since they are a package deal. The general consensus was to do that.

Agenda item #7 deals with the Church lease which says that any water that is transferred out of the valley MVWD has to pay 50% of the surcharge so we were on the hook for \$120,000 for water we're not using. Part of the agreement was amended in section 3 which Joe went over with the Directors. It was amended to say that SNWA will pay 100% of the surcharge on the water they use and we will pay 100% for any water we use out of our service territory.

Randy explained that the problem with the church lease was that we were obligated to pay \$120,000 every year for water that we couldn't even use. We owe around \$1,000,000 right now. Over the life of the contract it would have cost us around \$2.6M. The contract was signed a long time ago & we had to make some concessions to get this agreement amended. It was a huge liability and it wasn't a good contract for us. Because of this contract that liability will go away. The only way we can incur any expenses from this

contract is if we decide to use some of this water.

Randy wanted to make sure that everyone understands that this isn't a contract that the current Board approved, they're just trying to get the negatives out of it. This is pretty much a concession.

Joe said that this amendment is short and sweet and to the point.

Joe then moved to agenda item #9 which is the first amendment to the Memorandum of Understanding between the Southern NV Water Authority and the Moapa Valley Water District regarding the development of Coyote Spring groundwater resources.

Back in 2002 when all of the water was split up in Coyote Springs, Arrow Canyon got moved into a higher priority. SNWA and everyone else was willing to move their priority dates back. There is a caveat inside of this amendment that talks about wheeling fees. The wheeling fees that we were going to charge SNWA for running water thru our system applied to us. If we wanted water out of Coyote Springs we had to pay them a wheeling fee which made the cost of the water too expensive to use. Since the 2002 agreement talks about us paying a wheeling fee, we amended the 2002 agreement so that it would take out that caveat and replace it with "It is anticipated that MVWD's funding contribution be financed in part by MVWD using all reasonable means to secure funding available through the Water Resources Development Act of 1999 and the Energy and Water Appropriations Act of 2002 together with any required matching funds by MVWD ("Federal Funds"). SNWA will not charge MVWD a wheeling fee for conveyance of any MVWD Coyote Spring Valley groundwater through the Moapa Transmission System". We did have a credit with SNWA. The thing that was added was "SNWA will not charge MVWD a wheeling fee for conveyance of any MVWD Coyote Spring Valley groundwater through the Moapa Transmission System". That's huge because we had the 2008 agreement that allowed us to trade up to 6,000 AF of irrigation water for Coyote Springs water and bring it thru their line. It eliminates the charge that's associated with it. If we wait the 25 years so the capital improvement fees are gone, it drops the payment down so it's reasonable.

Ryan asked if the Moapa transmission system from Coyote Springs to our tanks has been previously defined somewhere. Joe said that it has. Ryan said that when he first read this he thought that SNWA was going to charge us a wheeling fee to deliver our water thru our system.

Randy said that another concession that the Board made was that we gave up charging them wheeling fees but in return we also were able to utilize that water, if we want to, down the road for less money. He thinks it fixes one of the problems we had.

Ryan asked if we aren't going to be getting any wheeling fees from SNWA for the 6,000 af of water that they pass thru our system.

Joe explained that they were to be defined. That's where we got into a big problem. They're going to be wiping away any wheeling fees we would ever be charged and we will be wiping away any wheeling fees they would have been charged.

Ryan asked from a quantity basis, if it was similar. We're sending a lot of water down our pipe and if we ever wanted to it would be a lesser amount.

Joe said that since they're agreeing to roll back their 3,750 af, it would be comparable to what we moving thru their system.

The biggest problem we have is that most of our water comes out of basin 219 so our hands are tied. Being able to get some water out of basin 210 is still an option. The next step would be to hopefully get something out of basin 205. It's on the other side of the range in Meadow Valley Wash but we might be able to get something out of there.

Agenda item #8 is the last amendment. It's the first amendment to Moapa Transmission System Design, Construction, Operation and Maintenance agreement. One of the changes that were made was that SNWA wanted to go calendar year and we wanted to go fiscal year. That's been changed to fiscal year. We're going to allow them to send us water at 2 mg per liter. We can monitor the water that's coming to us because we have the tester in our system. We can shut it down quickly if we need to. Bryan's already had to cross that bridge. We have capital improvements that can catch any water that doesn't have the

chlorine residual in it. If it has high or low chlorine, it shuts down and isolates their system from ours. Section 12.1 is where everything starts getting into the nuts and bolts of the amendment.

Randy asked about Section 5. He asked if the costs they want us to release are the grants we got to go towards that facility. Joe said that it's not that. It's the annual capital facilities cost. Part of the agreement is that if we use 10% of the water in the system from Coyote Springs and we have to pay for some of the capital costs but we never used any of the water so we don't owe them any money. What does fall into that is that for the last year or two instead of the paying their o & m costs for running the system, they haven't made any payments. They are supposed to pay us \$400,000 every year in advance and we withdrawal from that to cover the costs to run their system. What this section is actually saying is that we don't owe them any money and they don't owe us any money. They will still have to pay us the capital reimbursement costs which will be \$52,000 for the next 25 years. There's a schedule in the back of this amendment. Joe said that that's where the problem comes in. If we use 10% of that water we will have to pay 10% of that payment plus the cost of the o & m and everything else. As long as we hold out 25 years, we won't have to pay any of that money.

#4 wipes out the capital facility costs. The caveat that's in this amendment is that in any year that SNWA conveys less than the full amount of substitute water thru the Moapa treatment system, SNWA shall be responsible for 100% of the parties annual capital facilities costs. In section 8, the payments for the annual o & m costs go away. In section 13, it talks about the annual meeting of the parties. The only thing that kind of changed was to make sure that we have the same fiscal/yearly calendar.

Section 16 talks about emergency repair and response. There's a \$10,000 limit in there. It was a \$50,000 limit. Any repairs over \$10,000 they want us to get approval first. We would want the same thing. The original agreement leading up to the signing had \$10,000 in it and then the final had \$50,000 so Joe thinks that it was a typo.

They want to make sure that we don't touch the Jones Springs agreement. This amendment mentions the Jones Spring agreement and covers the caveat where basically after February 1, 2025 this portion of the agreement changes. Between now and then they're going to pay us \$200,000/yr order for us to keep Jones Spring off. When you look at our population projections and everything else, we could survive without having to utilize that water. If there's an emergency and we need to turn Jones Spring on, we can or they will turn on their treatment facility. They think it will cost around \$50,000 to turn their treatment facility on and to get it up and running. Joe originally thought it was kind of excessive so he had Bryan go thru it. Joe and Bryan feel comfortable with the cost now. When Joe's gone and someone decides that they want to turn it on they're going to have to pay a startup fee in order to get that thing up and running. It's kind of a deterrent to keep people from just wanting to turn the switch on. Joe doesn't see it becoming an issue for the life of the agreement.

Byron explained that if we need them to turn it on they will withhold the \$50,000 from the \$200,000 they are suppose to pay us for the year.

Joe said that we still agree that we can accept up to 6,200 af per minute. However, they're going to roll back to 3,750 gpm capacity in our distribution system unless they give us a minimum of two years prior notice. They can still request to get that water back so the next line says that we can issue new water commitments without regard to SNWA's reservation of 6,200 gpm but will not issue new water commitments that would cut into their 3,750 gpm. Once we receive notice that they want to go from the 3,750 gpm to 6,200 gpm, the parties will negotiate a separate agreement regarding provisions of capacity to SNWA and costs will be shared, if any new costs are necessary. They might be able to do something besides installing a new waterline if they can free up capacity some other way.

Ryan said that the amendment says in #11 that we have to pay the \$50,000 startup fee when we request delivery of substitute water.

Joe said that we are trying to prove up the water at Arrow Canyon so we should never need substitute water.

Ryan feels like we need to make sure that that is changed in the agreement later on.

Joe said the problem is that the effects of the drought are affecting the Dace and that messes with the Ely water. That's why he doesn't have an issue with it. SNWA is posting this agreement on the website tonight for approval at their next board meeting. If our Board decides that they don't want to approve the

amendment, Joe needs to let SNWA know as soon as possible. If that's a deal killer and the Boards going to hash it out for another hour, Joe needs to let them know not to post it on their agenda.

Ryan thinks the Board should go ahead and approve the amendment and have Byron write them a letter explaining that the Board brought up the \$50,000 startup fee and they believe the intent is that MVWD would only pay the startup fee when their system has to be turned on for us not if it's already running. Byron said that he will do that. That way there is written documentation clarifying that.

On motion of Randy Tobler and seconded Lindsey Dalley, the Board voted 4-0 in favor to approve the First Amendment to Cooperative Agreement between SNWA and MVWD.

**8. Approve the First Amendment to Moapa Transmission System Design, Construction, Operation and Maintenance Agreement (For Possible Action)**

On motion of Lindsey Dalley and seconded Randy Tobler, the Board voted 4-0 in favor to approve the First Amendment to Moapa Transmission System Design, Construction, Operation and Maintenance Agreement.

**9. Approve the First Amendment to the 2002 Memorandum of Understanding with SNWA (For Possible Action)**

On motion of Randy Tobler and seconded Lindsey Dalley, the Board voted 4-0 in favor to approve the First Amendment to the 2002 Memorandum of Understanding with SNWA.

**10. Review and approval of the Water Resource Plan (For Possible Action)**

Joe had a PowerPoint presentation for the Directors on the Water Resource plan. The third slide of the presentation showed the Colorado Flow System. All of SNWA's water is coming out of there. Joe thinks that if the past Directors could have seen what the White River Flow System was they would have been more proactive in trying to prevent some of the filings that were going on.

The WRP is a living document. We're looking forward 50 years at things like population, groundwater resource availabilities, surface water availabilities, cost of treatment, etc. Basically we have a lot of water in our portfolio & we need to put it to use. We are part of 34 hydrologic basins and cover over 16,000 square miles. The majority of the water recharges in the upper end then comes out in Warm Spring. 99% of our water comes out of there. Logandale well is the only water that we have that doesn't come out of Warm Springs.

The next slide showed all of the water rights that the District has. The ones in red are the ones that are tied to the Church lease water. They're in our portfolio but basically we can't use them so they aren't included in the total. We have 90 af in the Lytle property which is in Basin 218. It has actually been combined with our water at Arrow Canyon which is in Basin 219.

There was quite a lot of discussion on the Districts water rights and capacity.

The next slide was on growth projection. From 1990 to 2010 we had a 3.6% growth rate. That will probably never happen again. Staff will update this projection every two years.

The next slide showed our actual water usage based on historic demands. Our actual usage for 2011, 2012 & 2013 was 252 gallons per person, per day. When you add these numbers with the total population, which includes commercial, the actual usage for the whole valley is bumped up to 272 gpcd. We did the same thing with the .75%, the 2.2% and the 3.6%. When we get out 50 years it would be just under 4,000 af of water which means we're sitting pretty. This is where the caveat comes in. These are average numbers. In the summertime we have peak demands that we have to meet for four months out of the year so that's where our usage skyrockets. If we are going to be designing our system, the State Engineer makes us base everything on peak day demand so it really skews the numbers. Those figures don't actually mean anything because you can fix those by putting in tanks. Tanks will offset that. Joe put those figures in there so the Directors could see them.

The next slide shows average day demand, peak day demand and annual demand in 10 year increments. By 2065 we're looking at 11,000 residents at the .75% population growth, 26,000 at 2.2% and 49,000 at

the 3.6%. The average day demands are true numbers. The State wants to see peak day demands which changes the total to something higher. We're sitting good until you get to 2045.

The next slide was a pyramid that showed three different ways we could deal with growth. Joe thinks the easiest choice would be to use alluvial water in Warm Springs. It's in Basin 219 which is a huge drawback but they've already shown with the test pump that the pumping didn't affect the river. We could buy the alluvial water since the power plant is closing down. Their contracts are suppose to be expiring in 2017. We would also have to work out an agreement with the Church to acquire their alluvial water from the wells. Because those wells are next to Arrow Canyon, we could do a blending. We could build a new treatment facility if the water quality is there but Joe hasn't been able to find any water quality reports on that water.

Lindsey thinks it should be a priority to start working on that.

The last slide showed the recommendations and water resource alternatives rating criteria. Joe talked about the different recommendations. Joe's recommendation was to continue the groundwater exploration program to develop the most effective sources of supply from a water quality and cost perspective.

The plan has a lot of information in it. When the State Engineer starts looking at water resources, the municipalities that have these plans in place which show that they're going to need more water will keep moving up the list. Our conservation plan will also help us. If we can show that if we keep growing we're going to need more water then they'll leave us alone.

On motion of Ryan Wheeler and seconded Lindsey Dalley, the Board voted 4-0 in favor to approve the 2014 updated Integrated Water Resource Plan.

## 11. **Manager's Report**

**Office Manager** – Jeannie Poynor explained that Staff has just finished up the yearend inventory counts and are getting everything ready for the auditors. An auditor has already come to spot check inventory, check employee files and a few other things. He said that inventory is looking better than it ever has.

Jeannie had a graft for the Directors that showed net increase/decrease in cash and cash equivalents. Revenues are down a little bit from the prior year. We did have to make some bond payments and we haven't received any money from SNWA.

Andy Artusa called Jeannie about the refunding of our big bond. That will probably be on next month's agenda.

**Operation's Manager** – Bryan Mortensen reported the following items:

- 1) A meter was installed at 2755 Rice Rd.
- 2) 15 meter registers were changed out.
- 3) We had about the same amount of customer service request as usual.
- 4) The arsenic vessels at Baldwin Springs are operational. Crews had to backwash them and sample them so we could show the State they were safe.
- 5) In June staff worked with Layne/Western Electric on Arrow Canyon #1.
- 6) Staff had to flush the 340A line. SNWA sent some flat water that we couldn't accept since we can't chlorinate it before it gets into our system. We're only allowed to drop a certain amount of water in one area without a special permit from the State but we have several areas that we can flush from.
- 7) We are still working on flood cleanup. We're about done with Riverview Rd. We're flushing and chlorinating today. There's still an exposed line east of Wiser Wash and there's one on the south bank of the river at Logandale Bridge. Bryan's hoping to finish up within the next month or so. We have a little line on Lewis Lane that Joe asked staff to beef up for the next flood so that also needs to be done.
- 8) Leaks weren't too bad last month.
- 9) Crews did hydrant maintenance. They also worked on the small mains upgrade on Catherine Lane.

**Assistant General Manager – 1) Catherine Lane-** Lon explained that we had a difficult time getting the paving limits from NDOT so it prevented us from finishing the project by mid June. The cost of the asphalt pave back that is being required of us and the need of Board approval also set us back. Once the paving has been completed, the project will be completed and we can get a final from the County. **2) Upcoming Projects** – The following projects are in infancy:

- Yamashita/Wittwer to Yamashita Bridge: Gathered rough estimate on the project cost. We will install a 14" water line and create a redundancy loop.

- Muffy St./Cooper River Crossing: Lon is gathering information on infrastructure for the project cost. We will install an 8" line with a PRV to tie in the east bench with Overton and create a redundancy loop.
- Isola/Omer to Isola/Henrie: Gathering information on the project. We will install a 12" water line to upgrade and create a loop to handle flows.
- Gubler/St. Joseph to Gubler/Yamashita: Gathering information on project. We will install a 12" water line to create a redundancy loop.
- Moapa Elementary PRV: Gathering information for project. We will relocate the PRV out of the Moapa ball field.

**3) Water production** – It's in line with last year's totals. **4) Misc-** Lon has been working on the monthly reports and doing system sampling. He and Bryan attended the AWWA 2015 Annual Conference & Exposition. They also attended a one day backflow refresher class and took and passed the recertification test.

**General Manager – 1) Mesquite Regional Business, Inc** – Joe explained that he received a request from George Gault from Mesquite Regional Business concerning water availability for a major midwest egg production company that is looking to expand. Nevada is one of the three states the company is looking to expand to. Mesquite Regional Business is preparing a response to the request for information. Staff submitted a letter concerning water resource availability, rates, and meter impact charges. They indicated water use would range from 600,000 to 1,000,000 gallons of water a day.

**2) Vanguard Replacement** – Vanguard replacement was on hold this past month. Current expenditures are \$98,532.44. **3) Wildlife Filings** - BLM protested two of the Districts wildlife filings; upper ERG1 located in California Wash southeast of Hidden Valley and Colored Magnasite south of Overton. Their protest paperwork for the other five sites was denied by the State Engineers office on the grounds it arrived after the protest period had expired. The State Engineer has yet to post the link to BLM's grounds for filing protest. **4) NV Drought Forum** – The Governor issued an Executive Order on April 8, 2015 requesting a summary from all state agencies, municipal water providers and federal agencies of current and planned actions related to the drought. The District has conducted public/outreach programs to encourage voluntary water conservation, developed a water conservation plan, and has continuously monitored regional spring flows, stream flows, and ground water levels in wells.

**12. Public Comment (May be limited to five minutes)**

None

**13. Director's Preference**

- **Review Monthly Expenditures (For Information Only)**

Randy Tobler disclosed that he has an interest in a small business that is on the monthly expenditures list.

Randy wanted to make sure that the Assistant General Manager is going to be doing financial & budget stuff. He would like monthly information on the finances and on water leaks and infrastructure. He would also like information on equipment & infrastructure, arsenic media money, and the 1/4¢ sales tax.

He asked where we sit with the cash rate increase & where we can save money. He would like a financial dashboard showing the monthly and yearly beginning and ending cash.

He would also like to look at the Lead Serviceman pay scale. He doesn't think it's fair that there's only a difference of \$1.00 between the Serviceman pay scale and the Lead Serviceman's pay scale.

- **Litigation (Closed-Door Session)**

- **Discussion on SNWA's proposed contract modifications (Closed-Door Session)**

**14. Personnel (Closed Door Session)**

**15. Approval of the August 13, 2015 Board Meeting**

The general consensus was to cancel the August meeting.

**16. Public Comment (May be limited to five minutes)**



None

**17. Adjournment**

The meeting adjourned at 7:40 p.m.